23rd July 1952]

SRI C. V. K. RAO :—" With regard to the fixation of price of sugarcane, may I know whether the recommendations of the Tariff Board in this regard are taken note of? ".

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA :—" It is the Government of India who do the job of fixing the price of sugarcane, Sir."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" May I know whether the Government of India consulted the Madras Government before fixing the present instalments of payment for sugarcane and if so, what was the representation made by this Government in that connexion? "

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA :—" In the correspondence that went on between this Government and the Centre, we definitely stated that no payment should be delayed beyond the 30th June."

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU :—" May I know what were the specific reasons given by the Government of India for allowing payment in two instalments? In view of the fact that many hon. Members of this House are of opinion that the payment should be made in one instalment, will the Government of Madras urge upon the Centre to direct the payment to be made in one single instalment? "

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA :—" Hon. Members know that during the year 1951–52 we had a bumper crop of sugarcane. Consequently the stocks of sugar in the factories increased and they were not able to dispose of all their stocks. Nor were they able to get the necessary financial help from the Reserve Bank or local banks to pay to the cane-growers this year. Consequently the factory owners were put to difficulty. As a sort of help to them, the present arrangement of payment in two instalments has been made. These are the instructions from the Government of India."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" Does it mean that the factory owners of Madras have got greater pull with the Government of India than the Madras Government? "

THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA :—" I do not think so, Sir."

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS.

5. *Q.*—SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP : Will the Hon. the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state the amount expended by the Government on account of ' Grow More Food ' Campaign from April 1940 and inclusive of March 1952?

A.—The Grow More Food Campaign was started in Madras State in the year 1943. The following statement shows the total actual gross expenditure on account of all Grow More Food Schemes (both irrigation schemes and agricultural schemes) from 1943–44 up to 1951–52. The figures represent only the total *gross expenditure* and

[23rd July 1952

not the *net expenditure* to the Government after setting off the anticipated or actual receipts or recoveries under each scheme.

Year. (1)	*Total gross expenditure.* (2)	*Remarks.* (3)
	RS. IN LAKHS.	
1943–44	17·40	Final figures for March 1952 for one or two schemes are not yet available and in those cases figures up to February 1952 have been adopted.
1944–45	43·94	
1945–46	39·70	
1946–47	833·97	
1947–48	570·11	
1948–49	671·79	
1949–50	674·00	
1950–51	978·17	
1951–52	785·27	

6. *Q.*—Sri G. RAMA RAO: Will the Hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(*a*) the total number of house-sites acquired and granted to Harijans during the following financial years :—

(1) 1946–47,

(2) 1947–48,

(3) 1948–49,

(4) 1949–50,

(5) 1950–51, and

(*b*) the total amounts spent for the acquisition of house-sites during the above years?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) A statement* is laid on the table of the House.

7. *Q.*—Sri M. RAJESWARA RAO: Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) the total revenue derived by the Government from each district during the years 1946—52; and

(*b*) the amount of expenditure incurred by the Government on a districtwar basis on

(i) irrigation works;

(ii) education; and

(iii) Harijan Uplift during the said period?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The accounts of the Government are not kept by districts. The receipts directly received and payments made in the treasuries in districts do not represent the total receipts and payments pertaining to the districts, as some large receipts and payments are made in Madras City and are not allocated separately to each district. The time and labour that would be involved in compiling a districtwar statement of revenue and expenditure for the period 1946—52 would be disproportionate to the public interest that would be served thereby. A statement showing the revenue and expenditure (on revenue

* Printed as Appendix I on page 98 infra.

23rd July 1952]

account) in the districts of the Madras State for 1948–49 was specially compiled in January 1950 and a copy of the statement [a] is laid on the table of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER :—" Questions are over."

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNIAH :—" On a point of order, Sir. బాంకుల్లో డబ్బు అసలు లేదని చెప్పి మళ్లా డబ్బు చెల్లించారంటారు, ఏమిటి ? " 11–10 a.m.

Mr. SPEAKER :—" We are going to sit till seven o'clock to-day. It is not a point of order that has been raised by the hon. Member. It could not be discussed. Secondly, the hon. Member should learn that when the Chair is on its legs, he should resume his seat."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is not as if hon. Members do not want to obey the Chair. What really happens is that the Speaker is accustomed to speak very fast and it is not possible for new Members of the House to catch what the Hon. the Speaker says. Therefore, Sir, some slow exposition of the procedural etiquette will enlighten Members better."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" I am surprised to hear these remarks from the hon. Member who has been in this House for the last six years."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" This is a submission which I have made on behalf of all; it is not with reference to me, Sir."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" What the hon. Member raised is not a point of order."

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" On a point of information, Sir. I came to know that a Privilege Motion had been given notice of by the hon. Member Sri Gopalan Unni. I wish to know what has become of it."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" If the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition wants to have information about it, let him come to my Chamber and I will give him the information."

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—VOTING ON DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR THE YEAR 1952–53—*cont.*

(1) DEMAND IV—FOREST.

* THE HON. DR. R. NAGAN GOUDA :—" On the recommendation of the Governor, I move, Sir—

'*That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs.* 83,48,000 *under Demand IV—Forest.*'

" Mr. Speaker, Sir, in moving this Demand, I wish to make as briefly as possible a statement on the Forest policy of the

[a] Printed as Appendix II on pages 99–104 infra.